

## The Improvement of Power Quality by Voltage Controlled Adjustable Speed PMBLDCM Drive Using a Vienna Rectifier

K Sudheer Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>, Dawood Shaik<sup>2</sup> and Dr. Abdul Ahad<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.Tech (P.S) PG Scholar, Department of EEE, Nimra Institute of Science & Technology, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of EEE, Nimra Institute of Science & Technology, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>3</sup> Professor, Department of EEE, Nimra Institute of Science & Technology, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India

**\*Corresponding Author:** K Sudheer Kumar, M.Tech (P.S) PG Scholar, Department of EEE, Nimra Institute of Science & Technology, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India.

**Citation:** K Sudheer Kumar, Dawood Shaik and Dr. Abdul Ahad (2016) The Improvement of Power Quality by Voltage Controlled Adjustable Speed PMBLDCM Drive Using a Vienna Rectifier. Adv Electr Electron Technol 2: 007.

**Copyright:** © 2016 K Sudheer Kumar, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted Access, usage, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

### Abstract

In this paper, a half-bridge DC-DC converter is used as a single-stage power factor correction (PFC) converter for feeding a voltage source inverter (VSI) based permanent magnet brushless DC motor (PMBLDCM) drive. The front end of this PFC converter is a diode bridge rectifier (DBR) fed from single-phase AC mains. The Vienna Rectifier PFC converter is operated with the current multiplier control and voltage follower control schemes for improvement of power quality (PQ) at ac mains while controlling the operation of PMBLDCM in wide range of speeds and input AC voltage variation.

### Introduction

Today more and more variable speed drives are designed into appliance products to increase product performance and system efficiency. The low dynamic drive, whereby the load or speed is changed quite slowly in comparison with the system mechanical time constant, is a solution for many common appliance applications because simple algorithms can perform the control tasks. Moreover, the necessary computing power can be minimized by using dedicated on chip peripheral modules (such as A/D


converter, dedicated PWM outputs, input capture and output compare functions).

Three phase Brushless DC (BLDC) motors are good candidates because of their high efficiency capability and easy to drive features. The disadvantage of this kind of motor is the fact that commutation of motor phases relies on its rotor position. Although the rotor position is usually sensed by sensors, there are applications that require sensor less control. Benefits of the sensor less solution are elimination of the position sensor and its connections between the control unit and the motor.

## Proposed Power Quality Improvement Scheme of PMBLDC

For the proposed voltage controlled drive, a half-bridge DC-DC converter is selected because of its high power handling capacity as compared to the single switch converters. Moreover, it has switching losses comparable to the single switch converters as only one switch is in operation at any instant of time. It can be operated as a

single-stage power factor corrected (PFC) converter when connected between the VSI and the DBR fed from single-phase AC mains, besides controlling the voltage at DC link for the desired speed of the Air-Con compressor. A detailed modeling, design and performance evaluation of the proposed drive are presented for an air conditioner compressor driven by a PMBLDC motor of 1.5 kW, 1500 rpm rating.



**Figure 1:** Vienna Rectifier fed PMBLDCMD with current multiplier control

The proposed speed control scheme (as shown in Figure 1) controls reference voltage at DC link as an equivalent reference speed, thereby replaces the conventional control of the motor speed and a stator current involving various sensors for voltage and current signals. Moreover, the rotor position signals are used to generate the switching sequence for the VSI as an electronic commutator of the PMBLDC motor. Therefore, rotor-position information is required only at the commutation points, e.g., every  $60^\circ$  electrical in the three phases. The rotor position of PMBLDCM is sensed using Hall effect position sensors and used to generate switching sequence for the VSI as shown in Table 1. The DC link voltage is controlled by a half-bridge DC-DC converter based on the duty ratio (D) of the converter.

For a fast and effective control with reduced size of magnetic and filters, a high switching frequency is used; however, the switching frequency ( $f_s$ ) is limited by the switching device used, operating power level and switching losses of the device. Metal oxide field effect transistors (MOSFETs) are used as the switching device for high switching frequency in the proposed PFC converter.


However, insulated gate bipolar transistors (IGBTs) are used in VSI bridge feeding PMBLDCM, to reduce the switching stress, as it operates at lower frequency compared to PFC switches. The PFC control scheme uses a current control loop inside the speed control loop with current multiplier approach which operates in continuous conduction mode (CCM) with average current control. The control loop begins with the comparison of sensed DC link voltage with a voltage equivalent to the reference speed. The resultant voltage error is passed through a proportional-integral (PI) controller to give the modulating current signal. This signal is multiplied with a unit template of input AC voltage and compared with DC current sensed after the DBR. The resultant current error is amplified and compared with saw-tooth carrier wave of fixed frequency ( $f_s$ ) in unipolar scheme (as shown in Figure 2) to generate the PWM pulses for the half-bridge converter. For the current control of the PMBLDCM during step change of the reference voltage due to the change in the reference speed, a voltage gradient less than 800 V/s is introduced for the change of DC link voltage, which ensures the stator current of the PMBLDCM within the specified limits (i.e. double the rated current).

## Modeling of Vienna Rectifier PFC Converter FED PMBLDCMD

The proposed PFC buck half-bridge converter is designed for a PMBLDCM drive with main considerations on PQ constraints at AC mains and allowable ripple in DC link voltage. The DC link voltage of the PFC converter is given as,

$$V_{dc} = 2 (N_2/N_1) V_{in} D \text{ and } N_2 = N_{21} = N_{22} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

where  $N_1$ ,  $N_{21}$ ,  $N_{22}$  are number of turns in primary, secondary upper and lower windings of the high frequency (HF) isolation transformer, respectively.



**Figure 2:** PWM control of the buck half-bridge converter

$V_{in}$  is the average output of the DBR or a given AC input voltage ( $V_s$ ) related as,

$$V_{in} = 2\sqrt{2}V_s/\pi \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

The main components of the proposed PMBLDCM drive are the PFC converter and PMBLDCM drive, which are modeled by mathematical equations and the complete drive is represented as a combination of these models.

### A. PFC Converter

The modeling of the PFC converter consists of the modeling of a speed controller, a reference current generator and a PWM controller as given below.

**1) Speed Controller:** The speed controller, the prime component of this control scheme, is a proportional-integral (PI) controller which closely tracks the reference speed as an


equivalent reference voltage. If at  $k$ th instant of time,  $V^*_{dc}(k)$  is reference DC link voltage,  $V_{dc}(k)$  is sensed DC link voltage then the voltage error  $V_e(k)$  is calculated as,

$$V_e(k) = V^*_{dc}(k) - V_{dc}(k) \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

The PI controller gives desired control signal after processing this voltage error. The output of the controller  $I_c(k)$  at  $k^{th}$  instant is given as,

$$I_c(k) = I_c(k-1) + K_p\{V_e(k) - V_e(k-1)\} + K_i V_e(k) \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

Where  $K_p$  and  $K_i$  are the proportional and integral gains of the PI controller.



**Figure 3:** Control scheme of proposed motor drive

**2) Reference Current Generator:** The reference input current of the PFC converter is denoted by  $i_{dc}^*$  and given as,

$$i_{dc}^* = I_c (k) u_{Vs} \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

Where  $u_{Vs}$  is the unit template of the voltage at input AC mains, calculated as,

$$u_{Vs} = v_d/V_{sm}; v_d = |v_s|; v_s = V_{sm} \sin \omega t \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

Where  $V_{sm}$  is the amplitude of the voltage and  $\omega$  is frequency in rad/sec at AC mains.

**3) PWM Controller:** The reference input current of the buck half-bridge converter ( $i_{dc}^*$ ) is compared with its sensed current ( $i_{dc}$ ) to generate the current error  $\Delta i_{dc} = (i_{dc}^* - i_{dc})$ . This current error is amplified by gain  $k_{dc}$  and

compared with fixed frequency ( $f_s$ ) saw-tooth carrier waveform  $m_d(t)$  (as shown in Figure 2) in unipolar switching mode to get the switching signals for the MOSFETs of the PFC buck half-bridge converter as,

If

$$k_{dc} \Delta i_{dc} > m_d(t) \text{ then } S_A = 1 \text{ else } S_A = 0 \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

If

$$-k_{dc} \Delta i_{dc} > m_d(t) \text{ then } S_B = 1 \text{ else } S_B = 0 \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

Where  $S_A, S_B$  are upper and lower switches of the half-bridge converter as shown in Figure 1 and their values '1' and '0' represent 'on' and 'off' position of the respective MOSFET of the PFC converter.

**Table 1:** VSI Switching Sequence Based on the Hall Effect

SENSOR SIGNALS												
Ha	Hb	Hc	Ea	Eb	Ec	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	1	0	-1	+1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
0	1	0	-1	+1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
0	1	1	-1	0	+1	0	1	0	0	1	0	
1	0	0	+1	0	-1	1	0	0	0	0	1	
1	0	1	+1	-1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
1	1	0	0	+1	-1	0	0	1	0	0	1	
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**B. PMBLDCM Drive**

The PMBLDCM drive consists of electronic commutators, a VSI and a PMBLDC motor.

**1) Electronic Commutator:** The electronic commutators uses signals from Hall effect position sensors to generate the switching sequence for the voltage source inverter based on the logic given in Table 1.

**2) Voltage Source Inverter:** Figure 3 shows an equivalent circuit of a VSI fed PMBLDCM. The output of VSI to be fed to phase 'a' of the PMBLDC motor is given as,

$$v_{ao} = (V_{dc}/2) \text{ for } S_1 = 1 \dots\dots\dots (9)$$

$$v_{ao} = (-V_{dc}/2) \text{ for } S_2 = 1 \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

$$v_{ao} = 0 \quad \text{for } S_1 = 0, \text{ and } S_2 = 0 \quad \dots (11)$$

$$v_{an} = v_{ao} - v_{no} \quad \dots (12)$$

Where  $v_{ao}$ ,  $v_{bo}$ ,  $v_{co}$ , and  $v_{no}$  are voltages of the three-phases and neutral point (n) with respect to virtual mid-point of the DC link voltage shown as 'o' in Figure 2. The voltages  $v_{an}$ ,  $v_{bn}$ ,  $v_{cn}$  are voltages of three-phases with respect to neutral point (n) and  $V_{dc}$  is the DC link voltage.  $S = 1$  and  $0$  represent 'on' and 'off' position of respective IGBTs of the VSI and considered in a similar way for other IGBTs of the VSI i.e. S3- S6.

Using similar logic  $v_{bo}$ ,  $v_{co}$ ,  $v_{bn}$ ,  $v_{cn}$  are generated for other two phases of the VSI feeding PMBLDC motor.

**3) PMBLDC Motor:** The PMBLDCM is represented in the form of a set of differential equations given as,

$$v_{an} = Ri_a + p\lambda_a + e_{an} \quad \dots (13)$$

$$v_{bn} = Ri_b + p\lambda_b + e_{bn} \quad \dots (14)$$

$$v_{cn} = Ri_c + p\lambda_c + e_{cn} \quad \dots (15)$$

Where  $p$  is a differential operator ( $d/dt$ ),  $i_a$ ,  $i_b$ ,  $i_c$  are three-phase currents,  $\lambda_a$ ,  $\lambda_b$ ,  $\lambda_c$  are flux linkages and  $e_{an}$ ,  $e_{bn}$ ,  $e_{cn}$  are phase to neutral back emf of PMBLDCM, in respective phases,  $R$  is resistance of motor windings/phase.

The flux linkages are represented as,

$$\lambda_a = Li_a - M(i_b + i_c) \quad \dots (16)$$

$$\lambda_b = Li_b - M(i_a + i_c) \quad \dots (17)$$

$$\lambda_c = Li_c - M(i_b + i_a) \quad \dots (18)$$

Where  $L$  is self-inductance/phase,  $M$  is mutual inductance of motor winding/phase. Since the PMBLDCM has no neutral connection, therefore,

$$i_a + i_b + i_c = 0 \quad \dots (19)$$

From Eqs. (14-21) the voltage between neutral terminal (n) and mid-point of the DC link (o) is given as,

The mechanical equation of motion in speed derivative form is given as,

$$p\omega = (P/2) (T_e - T_L - B\omega)/(J) \quad \dots (30)$$

The derivative of the rotor position angle is given as,

$$v_{no} = \{v_{ao} + v_{bo} + v_{co} - (e_{an} + e_{bn} + e_{cn})\}/3 \quad \dots (20)$$

From Eqs. (16-19), the flux linkages are given as,

$$\lambda_a = (L+M) i_a, \quad \lambda_b = (L+M) i_b, \quad \lambda_c = (L+M) i_c, \quad \dots (21)$$

From Eqs. (13-15 and 21), the current derivatives in generalized state space form are given as,

$$p i_x = (v_{xm} - i_x R - e_{xm})/(L+M) \quad \dots (22)$$

Where  $x$  represents phase a, b or c.

The developed electromagnetic torque  $T_e$  in the PMBLDCM is given as,

$$T_e = (e_{an} i_a + e_{bn} i_b + e_{cn} i_c) / \omega \quad \dots (23)$$

Where  $\omega$  is motor speed in rad/sec,

The back emf may be expressed as a function of rotor position ( $\theta$ ) as,

$$e_{xm} = K_b f_x(\theta) \omega \quad \dots (24)$$

Where  $x$  can be phase a, b or c and accordingly  $f_x(\theta)$  represents function of rotor position with a maximum value  $\pm 1$ , identical to trapezoidal induced emf given as,

$$f_a(\theta) = 1 \quad \text{for } 0 < \theta < 2\pi/3 \quad \dots (25)$$

$$f_a(\theta) = \{(6/\pi)(\pi - \theta)\} - 1 \quad \text{for } 2\pi/3 < \theta < \pi \quad \dots (26)$$

$$f_a(\theta) = -1 \quad \text{for } \pi < \theta < 5\pi/3 \quad \dots (27)$$

$$f_a(\theta) = \{(6/\pi)(\theta - 2\pi)\} + 1 \quad \text{for } 5\pi/3 < \theta < 2\pi \quad \dots (28)$$


The functions  $f_b(\theta)$  and  $f_c(\theta)$  are similar to  $f_a(\theta)$  with a phase difference of  $120^\circ$  and  $240^\circ$  respectively.

$$T_e = K_b \{f_a(\theta) i_a + f_b(\theta) i_b + f_c(\theta) i_c\} \quad \dots (29)$$


$$p\theta = \omega \quad \dots (31)$$

Where  $P$  is no. poles,  $T_L$  is load torque in Nm,  $J$  is moment of inertia in kg-m<sup>2</sup> and  $B$  is friction coefficient in Nms/Rad.

These equations (13-31) represent the dynamic model of the PMBLDC motor.



**Figure 4:** Simulated performance of Vienna Rectifier PFC converter fed PMBLDCMD during starting at 1000 rpm and speed control (1000 rpm – 1500 rpm – 500 rpm) with current multiplier control



**Figure 5:** Current waveform at AC mains and its harmonic spectra of the PMBLDCM drive under steady state condition at rated torque and 220 VAC.

## Conclusion

A new speed control strategy of a PMBLDCM drive is validated for a compressor load of an air conditioner which uses the reference speed as an equivalent reference voltage at DC link. The speed control is directly proportional to the voltage control at DC link. The rate limiter introduced in the reference voltage at DC link effectively limits the motor current within the desired value

during the transient condition (starting and speed control). The design, modeling and simulation of Vienna Rectifier PFC converter fed PMBLDCM drive has been carried out in detail for its operation under speed control and varying input ac voltage. The CCM operation of the PFC converter under current multiplier control has shown better performance in terms of PQ indices as compared to the voltage follower control operated in DCM operation of the PFC converter.

## References

- [1] T. Kenjo and S. Nagamori, Permanent Magnet Brushless DC Motors, Clarendon Press, oxford, 1985.
- [2] T. J. Sokira and W. Jaffe, Brushless DC Motors: Electronic Commutation and Control, Tab Books USA, 1989.
- [3] J. R. Hendershort and T. J. E. Miller, Design of Brushless Permanent- Magnet Motors, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [4] J. F. Gieras and M. Wing, Permanent Magnet Motor Technology – Design and Application, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2002.

- [5] B. Singh, B. N. Singh, A. Chandra, K. Al-Haddad, A. Pandey and D. P. Kothari, "A review of single-phase improved power quality AC-DC converters," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electron.*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 962 – 981, oct. 2003.
- [6] N. Mohan, T. M. Undeland and W. P. Robbins, "*Power Electronics: Converters, Applications and Design*," John Wiley and Sons Inc, USA, 1995.
- [7] J. Uceda, J. Sebastian and F.S. Dos Reis, "Power factor preregulators employing the Vienna Rectifier and zeta converters in FM mode," in *Proc. IEEE Power Electronics Congress*, 1996, pp. 132 – 137.
- [8] C. Larouci, J.P. Ferrieux, L. Gerbaud, J. Roudet and J. Barbaroux, "Control of a Vienna Rectifier in power factor correction mode: compromise between the current constraints and the transformer volume," in *Proc. IEEE APEC*, 2002, vol.2, pp. 722 – 727.

Please Submit your Manuscript to Cresco Online Publishing  
<http://crescopublications.org/submitmanuscript.php>